APTA STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

STANDARD

American Public Transportation Association 1300 I Street, NW, Suite 1200 East, Washington, DC 20006 **APTA RT-OP-S-23-17** 

First Published: April 7, 2017

Rail Transit Operating Practices Working Group

# Fatigue Management Program Requirements

**Abstract:** This document provides standard requirements for rail transit systems in establishing and implementing a fatigue management program and related systems.

**Keywords:** fatigue, fatigue management program, fatigue risk management program, fitness for duty, health, hours of service, rest

**Summary:** This standard provides rail transit systems with the baseline requirements for fatigue management programs (FMPs) in order to mitigate the impacts of fatigue on their operations and thereby improve the quality and safety of rail service. It also includes recommendations regarding the usage of fatigue risk management systems. APTA recognizes that each RTS has unique design and operating characteristics and that each RTS will therefore have different titles and roles that its program would need to focus on.

**Scope and purpose:** This standard applies to rail transit systems that operate light rail, heavy rail or rail subway systems. It does not apply to commuter railroads that operate on the general railroad system regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration. The goal of an FMP is to put in place policies and procedures that support optimal personnel alertness and performance while reducing the likelihood and frequency of negative impacts due to fatigue (including fatigue-related incidents, as well as impacts to personnel, transportation agencies and the public at large). Managing fatigue also promotes personnel health and safety while reducing the likelihood that impairment due to fatigue will compromise public safety. The initial development and implementation of the FMP shall include a series of formal steps designed to ensure that critical perspectives and knowledge are included in the FMP and that its potential benefits are fully realized.

This document represents a common viewpoint of those parties concerned with its provisions, namely operating/ planning agencies, manufacturers, consultants, engineers and general interest groups. The application of any standards, recommended practices or guidelines contained herein is voluntary. In some cases, federal and/or state regulations govern portions of a transit system's operations. In those cases, the government regulations take precedence over this standard. The North American Transit Service Association (NATSA) and its parent organization APTA recognize that for certain applications, the standards or practices, as implemented by individual agencies, may be either more or less restrictive than those given in this document.

© 2017 NATSA and its parent organization. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of NATSA.

# **Table of Contents**

Participants	iii
Introduction	iv
Note on alternate practices	iv
<ul> <li>1. Purpose of fatigue management programs (FMPs)</li></ul>	1 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5
3. Fatigue risk management system (FRMS)	5
Related APTA standards	6
Definitions	6
Abbreviations and acronyms	6
Document history	6



# **Participants**

The American Public Transportation Association greatly appreciates the contributions of **Tony Abdallah**, **Sidney Dimanche**, **Martin Gulley**, **Dr. Francine James**, **Jeffrey LaMora**, **Korrie Mapp**, and **Amanda Nightingale**, who provided the primary effort in the drafting of this document. At the time this standard was completed, the Rail Transit Operating Practices Working Group included the following members:

#### Duane Sayers, SMART, *Chair* Amanda Nightingale, King County Metro, *Vice Chair*

Tony Abdallah, MTA New York City Transit Ray Abraham, Valley Metro Roy Aguilera, Bay Area Rapid Transit Michael Avery, King County Metro Transit Vern Barnhart, SCRTD Peter Bartek, Protran Safety Technology Patrick Brouard, LTK Engineering Services Louis Brown, Parsons Brinckerhoff Tony Brown, *Chicago Transit Authority* Cleve Cleveland, RATP Dev America Eben Cobb, Dallas Area Rapid Transit Victor Demmons, MARTA Paul Denison, Sound Transit Lauren DiGovanni, Michael Baker International Sidney Dimanche, Bombardier-AirTrain JFK Brian Dwyer, STV Incorporated Gina Garcia-Balderas, RTD Denver Andrew Ghiassi, *Bi-State Development Agency* Alfred Fazio, Bombardier Transportation Donald Filippi, North County Transit District Kim Fjeldsted, Utah Transit Authority Scott Grott, MetroLink Martin Gulley, Bi-State Development Agency Richard Hanratty, Michael Baker International Deltrin Harris, WMATA Melvyn Henry, SFMTA David Hill, Santa Clara VTA Gary Hinton, Maryland Transit Administration Gary Howard, METRO of Harris County Jhuan Jasper, *Chicago Transit Authority* Manael Kennerly, WMATA

Stephen Lino, LA Metro Jason Lurz, Ansaldo Honolulu Patrick McBride, PATCO William McClellan, MBTA Pamela McCombe, WSP / Parsons Brinckerhoff Cindy McMonagle, PAAC Henry Miranda, HART Colin Mulloy, JTA Daniel Murphy, Chicago Transit Authority Marie Olsen, Sound Transit Claude Phillips, HART Davide Puglisi, SFMTA Brian Riley, MTS Rail Gregory Robinson, Miami-Dade Transit Gerard Ruggiero, Jacobs Engineering Group Andrew Skabowski, MTA Harold Samms III. JTA Ernesto Scarpitti, Delta Railroad Construction Gary Schafer, Regional Transportation District Benjamin Simms IV, Hampton Roads Transit Allen Smith III, Charlotte Area Transit System Jim Smith, NJ Transit Bill Steinmetz, Alleghenv County Port Authority Russell Stone, Dallas Area Rapid Transit Debra Thacker, Valley Metro Tom Tupta, San Diego Trolley Denis Van Dyke, TriMet John Weber, MARTA Gregory Woods, NJ Transit Henry Woods, MARTA

#### Project team

Charles Joseph, American Public Transportation Association

#### **Project consultants**

Christopher Wallgren and Samuel Korach, Transportation Resources Associates Inc.

# Introduction

This introduction is not part of APTA RT-OP-S-023-17, "Fatigue Management Program Requirements."

This standard represents a common viewpoint of those parties concerned with its provisions, namely transit operating/planning agencies, rail transit systems, manufacturers, consultants, engineers and general interest groups. The application of any standards or recommended practices contained herein is voluntary. In some cases, federal and/or state regulations govern portions of a rail transit system's operations. In those cases, the government regulations take precedence over this standard. APTA recognizes that for certain applications, the standards or recommended practices, as implemented by individual rail transit systems, may be either more or less restrictive than those given in this document.

APTA recommends the use of this document by:

- individuals or organizations that operate rail transit systems;
- individuals or organizations that contract with others for the operation of rail transit systems; and
- individuals or organizations that influence how rail transit systems are operated (including but not limited to consultants, designers and contractors).

# Note on alternate practices

Individual rail transit systems may modify the practices in this standard to accommodate their specific equipment and mode of operation. APTA recognizes that some rail transit systems may have unique operating environments that make strict compliance with every provision of this standard impossible. As a result, certain rail transit systems may need to implement the standards and practices herein in ways that are more or less restrictive than this document prescribes. A rail transit system may develop alternates to APTA standards so long as the alternates are based on a safe operating history and are described and documented in the system's safety program plan (or another document that is referenced in the system safety program plan).

Documentation of alternate practices shall:

- identify the specific APTA rail transit safety standard requirements that cannot be met;
- state why each of these requirements cannot be met;
- describe the alternate methods used; and
- describe and substantiate how the alternate methods do not compromise safety and provide a level of safety equivalent to the practices in the APTA safety standard (operating histories or hazard analysis findings may be used to substantiate this claim).

It must be noted that rail transit is not directly comparable with railroads. Rail transit systems differ greatly in the types of service, vehicles and technology employed, with some systems operating fully automated trains on exclusive rights-of-way and others operating on streets mixed with traffic. Rail transit demands a unique approach to solving its problems, and the APTA Rail Transit Standards Program was enacted to accomplish this complex task.

# Fatigue Management Program Requirements

## 1. Purpose of fatigue management programs (FMPs)

In light of scientific evidence that personnel fatigue can result in impaired performance and that this is a risk to safe operations, the RTS shall establish an FMP that aims to reduce the likelihood of personnel impairment due to fatigue. The RTS shall develop a formal FMP that, at a minimum, applies to operators, controllers, and any other person identified as relevant and/or safety-critical by the RTS.

The RTS shall require all individuals who may operate a train during their time on duty to comply with FMP program requirements.

In this standard, the term "FMP-covered employee" is used as a means of identifying individuals governed by the FMP.

The goal of an FMP is to reduce the frequency of fatigue-related incidents and impacts to personnel, the RTS and the public at large. The development and implementation of the FMP shall include a series of formal steps designed to that ensure critical perspectives and knowledge are included in the FMP and that its potential benefits are fully realized. This process shall include the following elements.

#### **1.1 Assemble FMP steering committee**

The RTS shall create an FMP steering committee or similar group responsible for:

- 1. planning the FMP;
- 2. writing any associated policies and procedures;
- 3. monitoring and managing the implementation of the FMP; and
- 4. ensuring that needed support is available for employees.

Although the size and/or structure of the FMP steering committee will vary across transit agencies, the RTS shall include representatives from relevant levels of the RTS and shall include representation by members of FMP-covered employee groups, including the employee union(s) and other RTS groups that manage or address employee wellness.

The RTS shall determine if the steering committee is standalone or may be a component of another established committee.

Once the FMP has been established, the RTS shall routinely convene the steering committee to review FMPrelated metrics, evaluate the effectiveness of the FMP and recommend customized strategies to reduce fatigue risk.

#### **1.2 Conduct pre-implementation study**

The RTS shall conduct a pre-implementation study to understand workplace conditions and procedures that contribute to fatigue risk. A pre-implementation study shall consider the following:

- implementing a survey of personnel on fatigue and fatigue-management strategies
- analyzing exposure to fatigue risk on work schedules (e.g., bio mathematical fatigue modeling)

- auditing existing fatigue-management strategies (e.g., scheduling practices, sleep disorder screening and treatment support)
- auditing existing scheduling policies and procedures
- identifying safety-critical/sensitive work groups that should be considered FMP-covered employees
- identifying work groups/schedules at higher risk of fatigue-related impairment
- identifying practices and procedures that contribute to fatigue risk

Programs introduced in the FMP shall be tailored to the risk associated with FMP-covered employees and work schedules. The information gathered in the study shall also be used in evaluating the success and outcomes of the FMP. The FMP steering committee shall make strategic recommendations for the development of the FMP core elements based on the results of the pre-implementation study.

Once the FMP has been established, the steering committee shall periodically reevaluate FMP program metrics against the results of the pre-implementation study or subsequent results to evaluate improvements resulting from the program.

## **1.3 Develop FMP policy**

The RTS shall create an FMP policy that clearly identifies and defines all program elements, including the following:

- goal of the FMP
- FMP roles and responsibilities (i.e., steering committee, manager, other)
- ownership of the FMP
- personnel covered by the FMP
- compliance, exceptions and enforcement
- communication plan
- program reporting, monitoring and review

The FMP policy shall be developed in consultation with the FMP steering committee and shall integrate all fatigue-related safety systems and plans employed by the RTS. The policy shall delineate which operations and classifications of employees are covered by FMP procedures. The FMP policy shall be periodically reviewed and updated as needed.

The FMP policy shall reflect the following principles for the FMP:

- **Maintain shared responsibility:** The RTS shall ensure that the FMP emphasizes the importance of both individual and organizational responsibility.
- **Factually proven:** The RTS shall ensure that the steps taken to reduce fatigue risks are based on empirical and scientific information, including models used by fatigue risk consulting firms.
- **Performance-driven:** The RTS shall develop data-based metrics that indicate employee fatigue and risk, and that can be used to assess risk reduction outcomes.
- **Continuous improvement:** The RTS shall collect data to be periodically reviewed to make system adjustments and improvements.
- **Customized approach:** As appropriate, the RTS shall implement more than one program to cater to different needs and operations within the organization.

#### **1.4 Develop FMP roles and responsibilities**

The RTS shall delineate FMP roles and responsibilities in its FMP to fit its own needs. Across all agencies, management shall be held fundamentally responsible for regulating operations to prevent and account for potential fatigue (e.g., through scheduling) while employees governed by the FMP shall be required to hold a personal responsibility to use fatigue-management strategies that reduce the risk of fatigue they may experience

on the job (e.g., striving for proper rest and securing needed treatment for fatigue-related medical treatment). FMP roles and responsibilities in the policy will focus on establishing and maintaining FMP element accountability.

#### **1.5 Develop FMP implementation timeline**

The RTS shall develop and adhere to a timeline for the development and implementation of the FMP. The timeline shall be designed to focus on achieving effective control measures and implementing needed mitigation strategies as quickly as possible. The FMP steering committee shall be required to closely monitor and support the execution of these plans to ensure that the timeline is followed.

The RTS shall develop and implement its FMP within three years of the publication of this standard.

#### **1.6 Ongoing FMP communication**

The RTS shall develop an FMP communication plan in order to maintain consistent, employee-focused communication and messaging regarding the criticality of the FMP. This FMP communication plan shall include processes and/or policies for the following:

- maintaining both formal and informal communication channels regarding fatigue and fatigue management
- actively soliciting employee feedback on fatigue and the FMP
- encouraging fatigue-related discussions between employees and their families
- supporting compliance with policies of the FMP
- promoting awareness of the FMP
- increasing awareness of fatigue-management strategies for personnel

#### **1.7 Monitor and evaluate FMP**

The RTS shall gather data on FMP performance and analyze the FMP's effectiveness. This should include regular evaluation based on consistently used metrics. The RTS shall review the FMP when:

- operating plans, schedules, rules, procedures or other aspects of system operations are modified or changed;
- staffing levels are altered or work groups are reorganized; or
- new technologies, tasks or equipment with a potential impact on employee fatigue are adopted.

#### 2. Core FMP elements

The RTS shall develop an FMP that focuses on realigning the organizational culture to support fatigue management, including but not limited to providing training and education to FMP-covered employees to improve sleep habits, introduce personnel to a sleep disorder screening and treatment program, and address dispatching practices that hinder personnel from obtaining adequate sleep.

#### 2.1 Fatigue considerations in incident investigation

The RTS shall update its existing incident investigation procedures to additionally consider the potential role of fatigue in incidents. Investigation of the potential role of fatigue in events should consider the work and sleep schedule in the days leading up to the event, as well as any evidence of loss of alertness at the time of the incident.

The RTS shall provide appropriate training for incident investigators.

Metrics such as the proportion of incidents that involve fatigue may be reviewed by the steering committee as an indicator of fatigue risk in the RTS.

#### 2.2 Personnel work scheduling

The RTS shall implement work schedules and models that focus on mitigating factors that contribute to fatigue development. The RTS shall identify for all FMP-covered employees maximum on-duty hours, duty tour length, consecutive working days and minimum off-duty hours. The scheduling model shall balance a range of logistical considerations including regulatory requirements, workload, staffing and rest periods.

#### 2.3 Fatigue management education

The RTS shall establish education and training programs to educate FMP-covered employees on the FMP and fatigue-management strategies. Training and education topics shall include, at a minimum:

- the basics of sleep, fatigue and the circadian clock
- effects of fatigue on performance, health and wellness
- relationship between fatigue and operational performance and incidents
- factors that contribute to the development of fatigue
- fatigue warning signs
- strategies to manage fatigue and sustain alertness

The RTS shall provide managers of FMP-covered employees with the same training as the FMP-covered employees and include additional resources to help identify fatigue and support fatigue management in FMP-covered employees.

The RTS shall provide formal FMP training to employees responsible for developing and managing the schedules of FMP-covered employees.

The RTS shall identify its requirements for the frequency of FMP training programs for all FMP-covered employees.

The RTS shall consider programs, toolboxes or other resources to assist in developing and/or providing initial training for their instructors in the FMP.

#### 2.4 Fatigue-related absences and reports

The RTS shall evaluate fatigue-related absences to assess risks across the workplace.

#### 2.5 Rest areas

The RTS shall consider and evaluate methods to provide dedicated areas for FMP-covered employees to rest or take breaks in accordance with RTS policies, rules and FMP requirements. Any rest areas or spaces shall be placed at appropriate locations, based on rail operations, schedules and other applicable factors. The RTS shall consider alternative options for allowing FMP-covered employees to engage in rest activities.

#### 2.6 Sleep disorder screening and treatment

The RTS shall require FMP-covered employees and applicants to see a qualified medical healthcare provider to determine whether the employee is at risk for sleep disorders or other possible fatigue-related conditions. The RTS shall identify in its policy how it will respond to the results of the medical screening.

#### 2.7 Data assessment metrics

The RTS shall collect data from FMP-covered employees before, during and after implementation of the FMP to monitor and evaluate fatigue and risk levels. The RTS shall consider gathering this data from FMP-covered employees through an organization-wide survey.

The RTS shall determine if any job classifications have higher fatigue risks that should be engaged in further data gathering.

# 3. Fatigue risk management system (FRMS)

The RTS shall consider evaluating the use of a commercially available computerized system to analyze data on fatigue levels and identify fatigue risk factors. This system often incorporates a computer-based system that can track the changes in these metrics and evaluate the effectiveness of policies used to address them.

# **Related APTA standards**

The following standards contain information directly related to subjects within this standard:

APTA RT-OP-S-018-12, "Fitness for Duty (FFD) Program Requirements" APTA RT-OP-S-015-09, "Train Operator Hours of Service Requirements"

#### Definitions

For the purposes of this standard, the following terms and definitions apply. The job titles listed below are used in this standard for informational purposes only. It is up to the individual RTS to determine and use titles as it finds appropriate.

fatigue: A physiological state characterized by a lack of alertness and reduced mental and physical performance that is often accompanied by sleepiness.

operator: The onboard employee who controls the movement of a train or other on-track equipment.

rail transit system (RTS): An organization that operates passenger train service and its supporting activities.

train: A rail mounted vehicle that is used or intended to be used in revenue service.

#### Abbreviations and acronyms

**FMP** fatigue management program

**FRMS** fatigue risk management systems

NATSA North American Transit Services Association

**RTS** rail transit system

#### **Document history**

Document Version	Working Group Vote	Public Comment/ Technical Oversight	Rail CEO Approval	Rail Policy & Planning Approval	Publish Date
First published	November 21, 2016	January 1, 2017	February 22, 2017	March 15, 2017	April 7, 2017